

# Introduction to Reverse Mathematics

Ludovic PATEY  
*LIAFA, Paris 7*



January 29, 2014

# INTRODUCTION

## INTRODUCTION

Problem 1

Problem 2

## A WEAK SYSTEM

Which system to choose ?

Formal definition

## CAPTURING COMPACTNESS

Weak König's lemma

Gödel's completeness theorem

Gödel's compactness theorem

## CONCLUSION

The dark side

# PROBLEM 1

## Question (Bac S, 2006)

*Prove Gauss theorem using Bézout theorem.*

- ▶ How to express the relation between theorems ?
- ▶ What does it mean that a true theorem implies another true theorem ?

# PROBLEM 2

## Theorem

*If  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $n > 42$  then  $n$  is even.*

- ▶ Are our theorems optimal ?
- ▶ Are all the premises truly required ?
- ▶ How to formalize “optimality” ?

## THE ANSWER

# Reverse Mathematics



# PROBLEM 1 : A FIRST ATTEMPT

- ▶ How to express the relation between theorems ?
- ▶ What does it mean that a true theorem implies another true theorem ?

## Example

$ZF + AC \vdash$  Zorn's lemma

- ▶ What about theorems provable in  $ZF$  ?

... use a weaker system

# WHAT TO EXPECT OF SUCH A SYSTEM ?

- ▶ Many theorems should not be provable over it.
- ▶ Not too weak (eg. invariant under coding)
- ▶ Should give some insights on theorems.

# WHAT DO WE FOCUS ON ?

- ▶ Constructivity
  - ▶ Type theory
  - ▶ Intuitionistic Reverse mathematics
  
- ▶ Time/Space constraints
  - ▶ Complexity theory
  
- ▶ Effectiveness
  - ▶ Computability
  - ▶ Reverse mathematics



# WHAT IS EFFECTIVENESS ? (FOR US)

Effectiveness  
 $\neq$   
constructivity

# WHAT IS EFFECTIVENESS ? (STILL FOR US)

## Theorem

*For every infinite binary sequence there exists a value which appears infinitely many times.*

- ▶ Effective theorem
- ▶ Not constructive

# SETTLING REVERSE MATHEMATICS

To formalize Reverse Mathematics we need

- ▶ a language
- ▶ a logic
- ▶ a base theory

# WHICH LANGUAGE ?

- ▶ Express naturally most ordinary theorems
- ▶ (König's lemma) *Every infinite finitary branching tree has an infinite path.*
- ▶ (Bolzano Weierstrass) *Every infinite sequence has an infinite converging subsequence.*
- ▶ (Ramey theorem) *Every coloring of tuples with finitely many colors has an infinite monochromatic subset.*
- ▶ ...

# WHICH LANGUAGE ?

## Remark

*Most of our theorems are of the form*

$$(\forall X)(\exists Y)\Phi(X, Y)$$

*where  $\Phi$  has only first order quantifiers*

## Example (König's lemma)

$$(\forall X)(\exists Y)[Tree(X) \rightarrow Path(Y, X)]$$

# WHICH LANGUAGE ?

## Second order arithmetic ( $L_2$ )

### Numerical terms

$$t ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid x \mid t_1 + t_2 \mid t_1 \cdot t_2$$

### Formulas

$$f ::= t_1 = t_2 \mid t_1 < t_2 \mid t_1 \in X \mid \forall x.f \\ \mid \exists x.f \mid \forall X.f \mid \exists X.f \mid \neg f \mid f_1 \vee f_2$$

# WHICH LOGIC ?

- ▶ Intuitionistic  $\Rightarrow$  Intuitionistic reverse mathematics
- ▶ Classical  $\Rightarrow$  Reverse mathematics

Historical reasons ?

# WHICH AXIOMS ?

- ▶ Effectiveness is about infinite objects
- ▶ Finite objects should behave as usual

## Basic axioms

$$n + 1 \neq 0$$

$$m + 0 = m$$

$$m \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$\neg m < 0$$

$$m + 1 = n + 1 \Rightarrow m = n$$

$$m + (n + 1) = (m + n) + 1$$

$$m \cdot (n + 1) = (m \cdot n) + m$$

$$m < n + 1 \Leftrightarrow (m < n \vee m = n)$$



# WHICH AXIOMS ?

- ▶ Full second order arithmetic ( $Z_2$ ) ?

Induction axiom

$$(0 \in X \wedge \forall n.(n \in X \Rightarrow n + 1 \in X)) \Rightarrow \forall n.(n \in X)$$

Comprehension scheme

$$\exists X.\forall n.(n \in X \Leftrightarrow \varphi(n))$$

where  $\varphi(n)$  is any formula of  $L_2$  in which  $X$  does not occur freely.

# WHICH AXIOMS ?

- ▶ Most ordinary theorems are already provable in  $Z_2$
- ▶ No notion of effectiveness in proofs in  $Z_2$

# EFFECTIVENESS

Definition ( $\Sigma_1^0$ ,  $\Pi_1^0$  and  $\Delta_1^0$  relations)

- ▶  $\Sigma_1^0$  : definable by a formula  $\exists n.\phi$
- ▶  $\Pi_1^0$  : definable by a formula  $\forall n.\phi$
- ▶  $\Delta_1^0$  : both  $\Sigma_1^0$  and  $\Pi_1^0$

where  $\phi$  is a  $L_2$ -formula containing only bounded quantifiers.

Theorem (Post's theorem)

*A set  $A$  is computably enumerable (resp. computable) in  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  iff it is definable by a  $\Sigma_1^0$  relation (resp. a  $\Delta_1^0$  relation) with parameters  $B_1, B_2, \dots$ .*

# WHICH AXIOMS ?

## $\Delta_1^0$ Comprehension scheme

$$\forall n(\varphi(n) \Leftrightarrow \psi(n)) \Rightarrow \exists X.\forall n.(x \in X \Leftrightarrow \varphi(n))$$

where  $\varphi(n)$  is any  $\Sigma_1^0$  formula of  $L_2$  in which  $X$  does not occur freely and  $\psi(n)$  is any  $\Pi_1^0$  formula of  $L_2$ .

# WHICH AXIOMS ?

$\Sigma_1^0$  Induction scheme

$$(\varphi(0) \wedge \forall n.(\varphi(n) \Rightarrow \varphi(n + 1))) \Rightarrow \forall n.\varphi(n)$$

where  $\varphi(n)$  is any  $\Sigma_1^0$  formula of  $L_2$

- ▶  $\Sigma_1^0$  can be replaced by  $\Delta_1^0$

# WE GET... $\text{RCA}_0$

## Recursive Comprehension Axiom ( $\text{RCA}_0$ )

- ▶ Basic Peano axioms
- ▶  $\Sigma_1^0$  induction scheme
- ▶  $\Delta_1^0$  comprehension scheme

# HOW TO THINK ABOUT $\text{RCA}_0$ ?

## $\text{RCA}_0$ captures computable mathematics

Many of our principles are of the form  $(\forall X)(\exists Y)\Phi(X, Y)$ .

- ▶  $X$  is called an *instance*
- ▶  $Y$  is called a *solution*

If there is a computable instance with no computable solution then  $\text{RCA}_0$  does not prove the principle.

# HOW TO THINK ABOUT $\text{RCA}_0$ ?

## $\text{RCA}_0$ captures computable mathematics

We can create a model  $\mathcal{M}_0$  of  $\text{RCA}_0$  taking

- ▶  $\omega$  as the first order part
- ▶  $\text{COMP} = \{X \in 2^\omega : X \text{ is computable} \}$  as second order part
- ▶ If we have a computable instance  $X$  then  $X \in \text{COMP}$ .
- ▶ If  $X$  has no computable solution,  $X$  has no solution in  $\text{COMP}$ .



# PROBLEM 1 REVISITED

- ▶ Formal framework to reason about theorems
- ▶ Is it weak enough ?

We can use the question about computable instance with no computable solution.

# PROBLEM 1 REVISITED

## Theorem

*There exists an infinite computable binary tree with no infinite computable path.*

$\mathcal{M}_0 \not\models$  König's lemma

- ▶ This is the case for many theorems.

## PROBLEM 2 REVISITED

- ▶ Are our premises optimal ?
- ▶ What does “optimal” mean ?
  
- ▶ Prove the premise assuming the conclusion.
- ▶ This can be formalized over  $\text{RCA}_0$ .

# PROBLEM 2 REVISITED

## Observation 1

Most ordinary theorems are provable from weak axioms.

## Observation 2

Most ordinary theorems are *equivalent* to those axioms.

# PLAN

## INTRODUCTION

Problem 1

Problem 2

## A WEAK SYSTEM

Which system to choose ?

Formal definition

## CAPTURING COMPACTNESS

Weak König's lemma

Gödel's completeness theorem

Gödel's compactness theorem

## CONCLUSION

The dark side

# THE BATTLE



# WEAK KÖNIG'S LEMMA

## Definition (Tree)

A set  $T \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$  is a tree iff it is closed under prefixes:

$$\forall \sigma \in T, \tau \prec \sigma \Rightarrow \tau \in T$$

## Definition (Path)

$P \subseteq \omega$  is a path in a tree  $T$  iff all prefixes of  $P$  are in  $T$ .

$$\forall \sigma \prec P, \sigma \in T$$

# WEAK KÖNIG'S LEMMA

Definition ( $WKL_0$ )

$RCA_0$  + “Every infinite subtree of  $2^{<\omega}$  has a path.”

- ▶ We saw that  $RCA_0 \not\vdash WKL_0$



# GÖDEL'S COMPLETENESS THEOREM

## Definition (Countable model)

A *countable model* is a function  $M : T_M \cup S_M \rightarrow |M| \cup \{0, 1\}$  where

- ▶  $|M| \subseteq \omega$  is the *universe* of  $M$
- ▶  $T_M$  is the set of closed terms
- ▶  $S_M$  is the set of sentences

over  $L_M = L \cup \{\underline{m} : m \in |M|\}$  where  $M$  obeys the familiar clauses of Tarski's truth definition.

# GÖDEL'S COMPACTNESS THEOREM

Definition (Gödel's completeness theorem)

Every countable consistent set  $X$  of sentences has a model, i.e. there exists a countable model  $M$  such that  $(\forall i)(\varphi_i \in X \rightarrow M(i) = 1)$ .

Definition (Gödel's compactness theorem)

If each finite subset of  $X$  has a model, then  $X$  has a model.

- ▶ Compactness follows from completeness

# KÖNIG 1 - GÖDEL 0

## Theorem

$RCA_0 \vdash WKL_0 \rightarrow \text{Gödel's completeness theorem}$

## Part 1/3.

- ▶ Given an consistent enumeration  $X$  of sentences
  - ▶ Define a set of constant symbols  $C = \langle c_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ .
  - ▶ Let  $\Phi$  be the set of all formulas  $\varphi(x)$  in  $L_1 = L \cup C$ .
  - ▶ Define  $X_1 = X \cup \{(\exists x \varphi_n(x)) \rightarrow \varphi_n(c_n) : n \in \omega\}$
- ▶  $X_1$  is consistent
- ▶ Fix an enumeration of all sentences  $S_0, S_1, \dots$  over  $L_1$



# KÖNIG 1 - GÖDEL 0

## Theorem

$\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \text{WKL}_0 \rightarrow \text{Gödel's completeness theorem}$

## Part 2/3.

- ▶ Define a binary tree  $T$  as follows:
  - ▶ Add by default all nodes  $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$
  - ▶ If at stage  $s$ ,  $X_1[s] \vdash S_i$ , remove all  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(i) = 0$ .
  - ▶ If at stage  $s$ ,  $X_1[s] \vdash \neg S_i$ , remove all  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(i) = 1$ .
- ▶ Any path  $X_1^*$  in  $T$  is a completion of  $X_1$

□

# KÖNIG 1 - GÖDEL 0

## Theorem

$RCA_0 \vdash WKL_0 \rightarrow \text{Gödel's completeness theorem}$

Part 3/3.

- ▶ Build a model  $M$  of  $X_1$  as follows:
  - ▶ Let  $|M|$  be the set of  $\underline{c}_n \in C$  such that
 
$$\neg \exists m (m < n \wedge (\underline{c}_m = \underline{c}_n)) \in X_1^*$$
  - ▶ For all  $\varphi \in S_M$  set  $M(\varphi) = 1$  iff  $\varphi \in X_1^*$ .



# KÖNIG 1 - GÖDEL 1

## Theorem

$\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \text{Gödel's compactness theorem} \rightarrow \text{WKL}_0$

## Proof.

- ▶ Prop logic with atomic formulas  $\langle a_n : n \in \omega \rangle$
- ▶ Given an infinite tree  $T \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$ 
  - ▶ Define the formula (where  $a_i^1 = a_i$  and  $a_i^0 = \neg a_i$ )

$$\varphi_n = \bigvee \left\{ \bigwedge \left\{ a_i^{\sigma(i)} : i < n \right\} : \sigma \in T, |\sigma| = n \right\}$$

- ▶  $\varphi_i$  is satisfiable
- ▶  $\varphi_{n+1} \rightarrow \varphi_n$  is a tautology
- ▶  $\{\varphi_n : n \in \omega\}$  is satisfiable
- ▶ Any model of  $\{\varphi_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a path in  $T$



# KÖNIG 1 - GÖDEL 1

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent over  $\text{RCA}_0$ :*

- ▶  $\text{WKL}_0$
- ▶ *Gödel's completeness theorem*
- ▶ *Gödel's compactness theorem*

# PLAN

## INTRODUCTION

- Problem 1
- Problem 2

## A WEAK SYSTEM

- Which system to choose ?
- Formal definition

## CAPTURING COMPACTNESS

- Weak König's lemma
- Gödel's completeness theorem
- Gödel's compactness theorem

## CONCLUSION

- The dark side



# A PERFECT WORLD ?

- ▶ Most of our theorems lie nicely in a few main subsystems.
- ▶ They are even equivalent to those systems.
- ▶ ... however a class of theorems breaks the rules

# RAMSEYAN THEOREMS

## Theorem

*Every coloring of tuples with two colors has an infinite monochromatic subset...mouahahahah (evil laugh)*



# REFERENCES



Denis R Hirschfeldt.  
Slicing the truth.  
2013.



Stephen G Simpson.  
*Subsystems of second order arithmetic*, volume 1.  
Cambridge University Press, 2009.

# QUESTIONS

Thank you for listening !