## The weakness of Ramsey's theorem under omniscient reductions

Ludovic PATEY IRIF, Paris 7



May 22, 2016

### Many theorems can be seen as problems.

#### König's lemma

Every infinite, finitely branching tree admits an infinite path.



### Some theorems are more effective than others.

#### Intermediate value theorem

For every continuous function f over an interval [a, b] such that  $f(a) \cdot f(b) < 0$ , there is a real  $x \in [a, b]$  such that f(x) = 0.



**König's lemma** Every infinite, finitely branching tree admits an infinite path.



### COMPUTABLE REDUCTION

### "Q is at least as hard as P"



 $\mathsf{P} \leq_c \mathsf{Q}$ 

### **RAMSEY'S THEOREM**

## $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{RT}_k^n & \text{Every } k\text{-coloring of } [\mathbb{N}]^n \text{ admits} \\ \text{ an infinite homogeneous set.} \end{array}$



# $\mathbf{RT}_{k}^{n} \not\leq_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{RT}_{\ell}^{n}$ whenever $k > \ell > 2$ and n > 2.

(P.)

#### Definition

A problem P preserves *m* among *n* hyperimmunities if for every *n*-tuple of hyperimmune sets  $A_0, \ldots, A_{n-1}$  and every computable P-instance *X*, there is a solution *Y* to *X* such that at least *m* among the *A*'s are *Y*-hyperimmune.

 $\mathsf{RT}_{\ell}^2$  preserves 2 among *k* hyperimmunities, but  $\mathsf{RT}_k^2$  does not.

### $\mathsf{RT}^1_k =_c \mathsf{RT}^1_\ell$

whenever  $k, \ell \geq 1$ .

Refining  $\leq_c$ 

Weihrauch reduction Consider the uniformity of reductions Strong computable reduction Removes access to the instance



Consider the uniformity of reductions

to the instance

### STRONG COMPUTABLE REDUCTION

### "Q is at least as hard as P"



 $\mathsf{P} \leq_{sc} \mathsf{Q}$ 

 $\mathsf{RT}_k^1 \not\leq_{sc} \mathsf{RT}_\ell^1$ 

whenever  $k > \ell \ge 2$ .

(Dzhafarov)

Definition A problem P strongly preserves *m* among *n* hyperimmunities if for every *n*-tuple of hyperimmune sets  $A_0, \ldots, A_{n-1}$  and every P-instance *X*, there is a solution *Y* to *X* such that at least *m* among the *A*'s are *Y*-hyperimmune.

 $\mathsf{RT}^1_\ell$  strongly preserves 2 among *k* hyperimmunities, but  $\mathsf{RT}^1_k$  does not.

 $\mathsf{RT}_k^1 \not\leq_{sc} \mathsf{RT}_\ell^1$ 

whenever  $k > \ell \ge 2$ . (Dzhafarov)

### The $\mathsf{RT}_k^1$ -instance witnessing it defeats all $\mathsf{RT}_\ell^1$ -instances. (Hirschfeldt, Jockusch, P.)

 $\mathsf{RT}^1_k \not\leq_{sc} \mathsf{SRT}^2_\ell$ 

#### whenever $k > \ell \ge 2$ .

#### (Dzhafarov, P., Solomon, Westrick)

 $SRT_k^2$ : Restriction of  $RT_k^2$  to stable colorings.



### $\mathsf{RT}^1_k \not\leq_{sc} \mathsf{SRT}^2_\ell$

### whenever $k > \ell \ge 2$ .

(Dzhafarov, P., Solomon, Westrick)

## The $\mathsf{RT}_k^1$ -instance witnessing it defeats all $\mathsf{SRT}_\ell^2$ -instances.

WKL : Restriction of König's lemma to binary trees.

WKL  $\leq_{c} \mathsf{RT}_{k}^{n}$ 

whenever  $k \ge 2$  and  $n \ge 3$ .

(Jockusch)

WKL  $\leq_c \mathsf{RT}_k^2$ 

whenever  $k \ge 1$ . (Liu) WKL : Restriction of König's lemma to binary trees.

WKL  $\leq_{c} \mathsf{RT}_{k}^{n}$ 

whenever  $k \ge 2$  and  $n \ge 3$ .

(Jockusch)



WKL  $\leq_c \mathsf{RT}_k^2$ 

whenever  $k \ge 1$ .

(Liu)

#### Definition

- ► A function *f* is a modulus of a set *A* if every function dominating *f* computes *A*.
- A set A is computably encodable if for every set X ∈ [ω]<sup>ω</sup>, there is a set Y ∈ [X]<sup>ω</sup> computing A.

### A is computably encodable $\Leftrightarrow$ A admits a modulus $\Leftrightarrow$ A is hyperarithmetic (Solovay, Groszek and Slaman)

### WKL $\not\leq_{sc} \mathsf{RT}_k^n$

whenever  $n, k \ge 1$ .

(Hirschfeldt, Jockusch)

## The WKL-instance witnessing it defeats all $RT_k^n$ -instances.

WWKL : Restriction of WKL to trees of positive measure.

### WWKL $\leq_c \mathsf{RT}_k^n$

whenever  $k \ge 2$  and  $n \ge 3$ .

(Jockusch)

### WWKL $\not\leq_c \mathsf{RT}_k^2$

whenever  $k \ge 1$ . (Liu)

#### Definition

- A function *f* is a Π<sub>1</sub><sup>0</sup> modulus of a set C ⊆ ω<sup>ω</sup> if C has a non-empty *g*-computably bounded Π<sub>1</sub><sup>0,g</sup> subset for every g ≥ f.
- A set C ⊆ ω<sup>ω</sup> is Π<sup>0</sup><sub>1</sub> encodable if for every set X ∈ [ω]<sup>ω</sup>, there is a set Y ∈ [X]<sup>ω</sup> such that C admits a non-empty X-computably bounded Π<sup>0,X</sup><sub>1</sub> subset.

 $\mathcal{C} \text{ is } \Pi^0_1 \text{ encodable} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C} \text{ admits a } \Pi^0_1 \text{ modulus} \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C} \text{ has a non-empty } \Sigma^1_1 \text{ subset} \\ (Monin, P.)$ 

### WWKL $\not\leq_{sc} \mathsf{RT}_k^n$

whenever  $n, k \ge 1$ .

(Monin, P.)

## The WWKL-instance witnessing it defeats all $\mathsf{RT}_k^n$ -instances.

STRONG OMNISCIENT COMPUTABLE REDUCTION

### "Q is at least as hard as P"



$$\mathsf{P} \leq_{soc} \mathsf{Q}$$



### STRONG OMNISCIENT COMPUTABLE REDUCTIONS

- Whenever  $k > \ell \ge 1$ 
  - ►  $\mathsf{RT}_k^1 \not\leq_{soc} \mathsf{RT}_\ell^1$  (Hirschfeldt, Jockusch, P.)
  - ►  $\mathsf{RT}_k^1 \not\leq_{soc} \mathsf{SRT}_\ell^2$  (Dzhafarov, P., Solomon, Westrick)
  - ► WKL  $\leq_{soc} \mathsf{RT}_k^n$  (Hirschfeldt, Jockusch)
  - WWKL  $\leq_{soc} \mathsf{RT}_k^n$

(Monin, P.)

### Omniscient computable reductions

► ACA $\leq_{oc} RT^1_k$	(Dzhafarov)
• WWKL $\leq_{oc} RT^1_k$	(Liu.)
► WWKL ≰ <sub>oc</sub> FS	(P.)
► $\operatorname{RT}_{2}^{2} \not\leq_{oc} \operatorname{FS}$	(P.)

### DIFFERENCES WITH $\leq_{sc}$

$$\operatorname{SRT}_{3}^{2} \not\leq_{sc} \operatorname{RT}_{2}^{2} \qquad \operatorname{SRT}_{<\infty}^{2} \leq_{soc} \operatorname{RT}_{2}^{2}$$
(Monin, P.)

Proof sketch : g(x, y) = 1 iff  $f(x, y) = \lim_{s} f(y, s)$ 

### DIAGRAM UNDER $\leq_{soc}$



### REFERENCES

	-	_	

Damir D. Dzhafarov and Carl G. Jockusch. Ramsey's theorem and cone avoidance. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 74(2) :557–578, 2009.



Damir D. Dzhafarov, Ludovic Patey, D. Reed Solomon, and Linda Brown Westrick.

Ramsey's theorem for singletons and strong computable reducibility. Submitted., 2016.



Denis R Hirschfeldt and Carl G Jockusch Jr.

On notions of computability theoretic reduction between  $\Pi^1_2$  principles. To appear.



Lu Liu.

RT<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> does not imply WKL<sub>0</sub>. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 77(2) :609–620, 2012.



 $\Pi_1^0$  encodability and omniscient reductions. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.01086.